UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspub.cov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE                             | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/779,095   | 02/08/2001                              | Jean-Louis Gueret    | 20982-13            | 1674             |
| 22852 7590 03/17/2008<br>FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER<br>LLP<br>901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW<br>WASHINGTON. DC 20001-4413 |   |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|  |   |                      | GHALI, ISIS A D     |                  |
|  |   |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, |                      | 1611                |                  |
|  |   |                      |                     |                  |
|  |   |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|  |   |                      | 03/17/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 09/779 095 GUERET, JEAN-LOUIS Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Isis A Ghali 1611 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Isis A. Ghali. (3)Mr. Jeremy Thissell (Attorney for applicant). (2) Sabiha Qazi (Primary examiner 1612). (4) . Date of Interview: 03/06/2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representativel Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)∏ No. If Yes. brief description: Claim(s) discussed: of record. Identification of prior art discussed: US '928. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. q) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: 112 first and second paragraph rejections were discussed as possible amendments to overcome them. The obviousness rejection over US '928 was discussed. The differences between US '928 and the present claims were pointed out by Mr. Thissell. The examiners suggested distinguishing the composition of the matrix layer over the prior art. Since notice of appeal has been filed, patentability will be determined upon filing next communication . (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Attachment to a signed Office action.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1611
Examiner's signature, if required

/Isis A Ghali/